

Committee: Planning Applications

Date: 10th July 2014

:

Wards: All

Subject: Planning Appeal Decisions

Lead officer: Head of Sustainable Communities

Lead member: Chair, Planning Applications Committee

Contact officer: Stuart Humphreys

Recommendation:

That Members note the contents of the report.

1. PURPOSE OF REPORT AND EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- 1.1 For Members' information recent decisions made by Inspectors appointed by the Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government in respect of recent Town Planning Appeals are set out below.
- 1.2 The relevant Inspectors decision letters are not attached to this report, but can be seen on the Council web-site with the other agenda papers for this meeting at the following link:

http://www.merton.gov.uk/council/committee.htm?view=committee&com_id=165

DETAILS

Application Number: 13/P1585
Site: 23 Rose Avenue, Mitcham, Surrey, CR4 3JS
Ward: Lavender Fields
Development: Erection of two storey end of terrace house
Recommendation: Refuse Permission (Delegated Decision)
Appeal Decision: **DISMISSED**
Date of Appeal Decision: 9th June 2014

Link to Appeal Decision

http://planning.merton.gov.uk/MVM.DMS/Planning%20Application/1000080000/1000080574/13P1585_Appeal%20Decision%20Notice.pdf

Application Number: 13/P3952
Site: 26 Conway Road, London, SW20 8PA
Ward: Raynes
Development: Demolition of existing garage, erection of part single part two storey rear conservatory and part single part two storey side extension. Erection of front roof extension and formation of 2 x front dormers, 1 x side dormer and 3 x rear dormer windows.
Recommendation: Refuse Permission (Delegated Decision)
Appeal Decision: **ALLOWED**
Date of Appeal Decision: 16th June 2014

Link to Appeal Decision

http://planning.merton.gov.uk/MVM.DMS/Planning%20Application/1000082000/1000082772/13P3952_Appeal%20Decision%20Notice.pdf

Application Number: 13/P2310
Site: 142-144 Graham Road, London SW19 3SJ
Ward: Dundonald
Development: Erection of additional storey to create new flat
Recommendation: Refuse Permission (Delegated Decision)
Appeal Decision: **DISMISSED**
Date of Appeal Decision: 18th June 2014

Link to Appeal Decision

http://planning.merton.gov.uk/MVM.DMS/Planning%20Application/1000081000/1000081248/13P2310_Appeal%20Decision%20Notice.pdf

Application Number: 13/P4074
Site: 106 Park Avenue, Mitcham, Surrey CR4 2EP
Ward: Graveney
Development: Conversion of single dwelling into 2 x flats
Recommendation: Refuse Permission (Delegated Decision)
Appeal Decision: **DISMISSED**
Date of Appeal Decision: 25th June 2014

Link to Appeal Decision

http://planning.merton.gov.uk/MVM.DMS/Planning%20Application/1000082000/1000082885/13P4074_Appeal%20Decision%20Notice.pdf

Application Number: 13/P4149
Site: 4 Middleton Road, Morden, Surrey, SM4 6SD
Ward: St Helier
Development: Retention of existing 1.8 metre high side and front boundary fence
Recommendation: Refuse Permission (Delegated Decision)
Appeal Decision: **DISMISSED**
Date of Appeal Decision: 16th June 2014

Link to Appeal Decision

http://planning.merton.gov.uk/MVM.DMS/Planning%20Application/1000082000/1000082956/13P4149_Appeal%20Decision%20Notice.pdf

Application Number: 14/P0629
Site: 2 Crowland Walk, Morden, Surrey SM4 6QU
Ward: St Helier
Development: Erection of two storey side extension
Appeal Decision: **DISMISSED**
Date of Appeal Decision: 18th June 2014

Link to Appeal Decision

http://planning.merton.gov.uk/MVM.DMS/Planning%20Application/1000083000/1000083564/14P0629_Appeal%20Decision%20Notice.pdf

1 ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS

- 3.1 The appeal decision is final unless it is successfully challenged in the Courts. If a challenge is successful, the appeal decision will be quashed and the case returned to the Secretary of State for re-determination. It does not follow necessarily that the original appeal decision will be reversed when it is re-determined.
- 3.2 The Council may wish to consider taking legal advice before embarking on a challenge. The following applies: Under the provision of Section 288 of the Town & Country Planning Act 1990, or Section 63 of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990, a person or an establishment who is aggrieved by a decision may seek to have it quashed by making an application to the High Court on the following grounds: -
1. That the decision is not within the powers of the Act; or
 2. That any of the relevant requirements have not been complied with; (relevant requirements means any requirements of the 1990 Act or of the Tribunal's Land Enquiries Act 1992, or of any Order, Regulation or Rule made under those Acts).

2 CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN OR PROPOSED

- 2.1. None required for the purposes of this report.

3 TIMETABLE

- 3.1. N/A

4 FINANCIAL, RESOURCE AND PROPERTY IMPLICATIONS

- 4.1. There are financial implications for the Council in respect of appeal decisions where costs are awarded against the Council.

5 LEGAL AND STATUTORY IMPLICATIONS

- 5.1. An Inspector's decision may be challenged in the High Court, within 6 weeks of the date of the decision letter (see above).

6 HUMAN RIGHTS, EQUALITIES AND COMMUNITY COHESION IMPLICATIONS

- 6.1. None for the purposes of this report.

7 CRIME AND DISORDER IMPLICATIONS

- 7.1. None for the purposes of this report.

8 RISK MANAGEMENT AND HEALTH AND SAFETY IMPLICATIONS

- 8.1. See 6.1 above.

9 BACKGROUND PAPERS

- 9.1. The papers used to compile this report are the Council's Development Control service's Town Planning files relating to the sites referred to above and the agendas and minutes of the Planning Applications Committee where relevant.